arXiv:1302.4632v1 [math-ph] 19 Feb 2013

RESONANCES FOR 1D MASSLESS DIRAC OPERATORS

ALEXEI IANTCHENKO AND EVGENY KOROTYAEV

Abstract. We consider the 1D massless Dirac operator on the real line with compactly supported potentials. We study resonances as the poles of scattering matrix or equivalently as the zeros of modified Fredholm determinant. We obtain the following properties of the resonances: 1) asymptotics of counting function, 2) estimates on the resonances and the forbidden domain, 3) the trace formula in terms of resonances.

1. Introduction and main results

We consider the 1D massless Dirac operator H acting in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and given by

$$H = -iJ\frac{d}{dx} + V(x), \qquad J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ \overline{q} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a complex-valued function. In order to define resonances we will need to suppose that q has compact support and satisfy the following hypothesis:
Condition A. *A complex-valued function* $q \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ *and* $\operatorname{supp} q \subset [0, \gamma]$, *for some* $\gamma > 0$, *where* $[0, \gamma]$ *is the convex hull of the support of* q.

It is well known (see [DEGM], [ZMNP]) that the operator H is self-adjoint and its spectrum is purely absolutely continuous and is given by the set $\mathbb{R}$.

We consider the Dirac equation for a vector valued function $f(x)$

$$-iJf' + Vf = \lambda f, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \qquad f(x) = f_1(x)e_+ + f_2(x)e_-, \quad e_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ e_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.1}$$

where f_1, f_2 are the functions in $x \in \mathbb{R}$. System (1.1) is also known as the Zakhorov-Shabat system (see [DEGM], [ZMNP]). Define the fundamental solutions $\psi_\pm, \varphi_\pm$, of (1.1) under the following conditions

$$\psi^\pm(x, \lambda) = e^{\pm i\lambda x} e_\pm, \qquad x > \gamma; \qquad\qquad \varphi^\pm(x, \lambda) = e^{\pm i\lambda x} e_\pm, \qquad x < 0.$$

Define the functions

$$a(\lambda) = \det(\psi^+(x, \lambda), \varphi^-(x, \lambda)), \qquad b(\lambda) = \det(\varphi^-(x, \lambda), \psi^-(x, \lambda)), \tag{1.2}$$

where $\det(f, g)$ is the Wronskian for two vector-valued functions f, g.

Below we consider all functions and the resolvent in upper-half plane $\mathbb{C}_+$ and we will obtain their analytic continuation into the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Note that we can consider all functions and the resolvent in lower-half plane $\mathbb{C}_-$ and to obtain their analytic continuation into the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. The Riemann surface of the resolvent

---

Date: February 20, 2013.

Key words and phrases. Resonances, 1D Dirac, Zakharov-Shabat.

for the Dirac operator consists of two disconnected sheets $\mathbb{C}$. In the case of the Schrödinger operator the corresponding Riemann surface is the Riemann surface of the function $\sqrt{\lambda}$.

The zeros of $a(\lambda)$ in $\mathbb{C}_-$ are called *resonances* with multiplicities as zeros of function $a(\lambda)$.

Before to proceed with our results, we need to give a short introduction to the subject.

Resonances, from a physicists point of view, were first studied by Regge in 1958 (see [R58]). Since then, the properties of resonances has been the object of intense study and we refer to [SZ91] for the mathematical approach in the multi-dimensional case and references given there. In the multi-dimensional Dirac case resonances were studied locally in [HB92]. We discuss the global properties of resonances in the one-dimensional case. A lot of papers are devoted to the resonances for the 1D Schrödinger operator, see Froese [F97], Korotyaev [K04], Simon [S00], Zworski [Z87] and references given there. We recall that Zworski [Z87] obtained the first results about the asymptotic distribution of resonances for the Schrödinger operator with compactly supported potentials on the real line. Different properties of resonances were determined in [H99], [K11], [S00] and [Z87]. Inverse problems (characterization, recovering, plus uniqueness) in terms of resonances were solved by Korotyaev for the Schrödinger operator with a compactly supported potential on the real line [K05] and the half-line [K04].

The "local resonance" stability problems were considered in [K04s], [MSW10].

However, we know only one paper [K12] about the resonances for the Dirac operator H on the real line. In particular, for each $p > 1$ the estimates of resonances in terms of potentials are obtained:

$$\sum_{\operatorname{Im}\lambda_n<0} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n - i|^p} \leqslant \frac{CY_p}{\log 2}\left(\frac{4\gamma}{\pi} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |q(x)|dx\right),$$

where $C \leqslant 2^5$ is an absolute constant and $Y_p = \sqrt{\pi}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{p}{2})}$, and Γ is the Gamma function.

Inverse scattering theory for the Zakharov-Shabat systems were developed for the investigation of NLS, see [FT87], [DEGM], [ZMNP]. In [Gr92] Grebert studies the inverse scattering problem for the Dirac operator on the real line. In [IK2] we give the properties of bound states and resonances for the Dirac operator with mass $m > 0$ on the half-line. In [IK3] we describe the properties of graphene with localized impurities modeled by the two-dimensional Dirac operator with compactly supported radial potential. We address the inverse resonance problem for 1D Dirac operators in [IK4].

In this paper we study resonances for the massless Dirac operator. This analysis is based on the properties of functions a, b defined in (1.2). We will show that functions a, b are entire and

$$a(i\eta) = 1 + o(1) \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to \infty. \tag{1.3}$$

All zeros of $a(\lambda)$ lie in $\mathbb{C}_-$. We denote by $(\lambda_n)_1^\infty$ the sequence of zeros in $\mathbb{C}_-$ of a (multiplicities counted by repetition), so arranged that

$$0 < |\lambda_1| \leqslant |\lambda_2| \leqslant |\lambda_2| \leqslant \ldots$$

and let $\lambda_n = \mu_n + i\eta_n, n \geqslant 1$. The massless Dirac operator with $q \equiv 0$ we denote by H_0. The scattering matrix $\mathcal{S}$ for the pair H, H_0 has the following form

$$\mathcal{S}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{a(\lambda)}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\overline{b}(\lambda) \\ b(\lambda) & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Here $1/a$ is the transmission coefficient and $-\overline{b}/a$ (or b/a) is the right (left) reflection coefficient. Due to (1.3) we take the unique branch $\log a(\lambda)=o(1)$ as $\lambda=i\eta$, $\eta\to\infty$.

We define the function

$$\log a(\lambda,q)=\nu(\lambda,q)+i\phi_{\rm sc}(\lambda,q),\qquad \phi_{\rm sc}(\lambda,q)=\arg a(\lambda,q),\qquad \nu(\lambda,q)=\log|a(\lambda,q)|,\qquad \lambda\in\mathbb{C}_+,$$

where the function ϕ is called the scattering phase (or the spectral shift function, see [Kr]) and the function ν is called the action variable for the non-linear Schroödinger equation on the real line (see [ZMNP]). The scattering matrix $S(\lambda)$ is unitary and we have the identities

$$|a(\lambda)|^2-|b(\lambda)|^2=1,\quad \lambda\in\mathbb{R},$$

$$\det\mathcal{S}(\lambda)=e^{-i2\arg a(\lambda)}=e^{-i2\phi_{\rm sc}(\lambda)},\quad \lambda\in\mathbb{R}.$$

If $q''\in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ then we have the following asymptotic estimate (see [ZMNP])

$$i\log a(\lambda)=-\frac{Q_0}{\lambda}-\frac{Q_1}{\lambda^2}-\frac{Q_2+o(1)}{\lambda^3},\qquad \lambda=i\eta,\quad \eta\to\infty, \tag{1.4}$$

where $Q_j=\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\lambda^j\log|a(\lambda)|\,d\lambda, j=0,1,\ldots,$

$$Q_j=2^{-j}\mathcal{H}_j,\qquad j=0,1,2,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_0=\frac12\int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(x)|^2dx,\qquad \mathcal{H}_1=\frac12\int_{\mathbb{R}}q'(x)\overline{q}(x)dx,\quad \mathcal{H}_2=\frac12\int_{\mathbb{R}}(|q'(x)|^2+|q(x)|^4)dx.$$

Here $\mathcal{H}_j$ are hierarchy of the defocussing cubic non-linear Schrödinger equation (dNLS) on the real line given by

$$-i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}=-\psi_{xx}+2|\psi|^2\psi.$$

The main goal of our paper is to describe the properties of the resonances and to determine the trace formula in terms of resonances. We achieve this goal by studying the properties of function $a(\lambda)$ which is entire function of exponential type with zeros in $\mathbb{C}_-$.

We introduce the modified Fredholm determinant (see [GK69]) as follows. Using the factorization of potential V, we introduce the operator valued function (the sandwich operator) $Y_0(\lambda)$ by

$$Y_0(\lambda)=V_2R_0(\lambda)V_1,\quad \text{where}\quad V=V_1V_2,\ V_2=|q|^{\frac12}I_2.$$

Observing that $Y_0(\lambda)$ is in the Hilbert-Schmidt class $\mathcal{B}_2$ but not in the trace class $\mathcal{B}_1$ (explained in the beginning of Section 6), we define the modified Fredholm determinant $D(\lambda)$ by

$$D(\lambda)=\det\left[(I+Y_0(\lambda))e^{-Y_0(\lambda)}\right],\qquad \lambda\in\mathbb{C}_+.$$

Then the function $D(\cdot)$ is well-defined in $\mathbb{C}_+$.

We define the space $L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $p\geqslant1$, equipped with the standard norm $\|f\|_p=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(x)|^pdx\right)^{\frac1p}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_+^2$ denote the Hardy class of functions g which are analytic in $\mathbb{C}_+$ and satisfy

$$\sup_{y>0}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|g(x+iy)|^2dx<\infty.$$

We formulate now the main result about the function $a(\lambda)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then function a and the determinant D are analytic in $\mathbb{C}_+$, continuous up to the real line and satisfy*

$$a = D, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\log D(\cdot) = \log a(\cdot) \in \mathcal{H}^2_+. \tag{1.6}$$

Moreover, if in addition $q' \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ then

$$i \log a(\lambda) = -\frac{\|q\|_2^2 + o(1)}{2\lambda} \qquad \text{as} \quad \operatorname{Im}\lambda \to \infty. \tag{1.7}$$

Remark. 1) To the best of our knowledge, the important identity (1.5) is new in the settings of Dirac systems. We will stress on the fact that for the massless Dirac operator there is no factor of proportionality in the identity. In the massive case (see [IK2, IK3]) the situation is different.

2) The proof of Theorem 1.1 follows from Lemma 6.1 and is given in Section 6.

We determine the asymptotics of the counting function. We denote the number of zeros of a function f having modulus $\leqslant r$ by $\mathcal{N}(r, f)$, each zero being counted according to its multiplicity.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that potential q satisfies Condition A. Then $a(\cdot)$ has an analytic continuation from $\mathbb{C}_+$ into the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and satisfies:*

$$\mathcal{N}(r, a) = \frac{2r\gamma}{\pi}(1 + o(1)) \qquad as \qquad r \to \infty. \tag{1.8}$$

Moreover, for each $\delta > 0$ the number of zeros of a with modulus $\leqslant r$ lying outside both of the two sectors $|\arg z| < \delta$, $|\arg z - \pi| < \delta$ is $o(r)$ for large r.

Remark. 1) Zworski obtained in [Z87] similar results for the Schrödinger operator with compactly supported potentials on the real line.

2) Our proof follows from Proposition 3.4 and Levinson Theorem 2.1.

The analytic properties of function a imply estimates of resonances in terms of the potential.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that potential q satisfies Condition A and $q' \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}_-$, $n \geqslant 1$, be any zero of $a(\lambda)$ in $\mathbb{C}_-$ (i.e. resonance). Then*

$$\left|\lambda_n^2 + \frac{i}{2}\lambda_n \|q\|_2^2\right| \leqslant C_1 e^{-2\gamma \operatorname{Im}\lambda_n}, \tag{1.9}$$

where the constant

$$C_1 = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \left|\lambda^2 \left(a(\lambda) - 1 + \frac{1}{2i\lambda}\|q\|_2^2\right)\right| < \infty. \tag{1.10}$$

In particular, for any $A > 0$, there are only finitely many resonances in the region

$$\{\operatorname{Im}\lambda \geqslant -A - \frac{1}{\gamma}\log|\operatorname{Re}\lambda_n|\}.$$

Remark. 1) The similar results for the Schrödinger operator were obtained in [K04].

2) Estimate (1.10) describes the forbidden domain for the resonances.

3) The proof of the theorem follows from Corollary 2.3 using Proposition 3.4, Lemma 4.1 and asymptotics (4.5).

We determine the trace formulas in terms of resonances for the Dirac operator.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that potential q satisfies Condition A. Let $f(\lambda) = \hat{\varphi}(\lambda)$, for $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$, and let λ_n be a resonance and $\phi'_{\rm sc}(\lambda)$ the scattering phase, then*

$$\operatorname{Tr}(f(H) - f(H_0)) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda)\phi'_{\rm sc}(\lambda)d\lambda = \sum_{\nu \geqslant 1} f(\lambda_n), \tag{1.11}$$

$$\phi'_{\rm sc}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{n\geqslant 1} \frac{\operatorname{Im}\lambda_n}{|\lambda - \lambda_n|^2}, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.12}$$

$$\operatorname{Tr}(R(\lambda) - R_0(\lambda)) = -i\gamma - \lim_{r\to+\infty} \sum_{|\lambda_n|\leqslant r} \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_n}, \tag{1.13}$$

where the series converge uniformly in every bounded subset on the plane by condition (2.2).

Remark. These results are similar to the 1D Schrödinger case (see Korotyaev [K04], [K05]) and in 3D (see [IK11]).

The plan of our paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall some results about entire functions and prove Theorem 1.3 referring to the results obtained in Section 4. In Section 3 we describe the properties of fundamental solutions and prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 4 we obtain uniform estimates on the Jost solution as $\lambda \to \infty$ under the condition that $q' \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. In Section 5 we prove useful Hilbert-Schmidt estimates for the "sandwiched" free resolvent . In Section 6 we give the properties of the modified Fredholm determinant and prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.4.

2. CARTWRIGHT CLASS OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.3. The proof is based on some well-known facts from the theory of entire functions which we recall here. We mostly follow [Koo81]. We denote the number of zeros of function f having modulus $\leqslant r$ by $\mathcal{N}(r)$, each zero being counted according to its multiplicity. We sometimes write $\mathcal{N}(r, f)$ instead of $\mathcal{N}(r)$ when several functions are being dealt with. An entire function $f(z)$ is said to be of exponential type if there is a constant A such that $|f(z)| \leqslant \text{const}\, e^{A|z|}$ everywhere. The infimum of the set of A for which such inequality holds is called the type of f. For each exponential type function f we define the types $\rho_\pm(f)$ in $\mathbb{C}_\pm$ by

$$\rho_\pm(f) \equiv \limsup_{y\to\infty} \frac{\log|f(\pm iy)|}{y}.$$

Fix $\rho > 0$. We introduce the class of exponential type functions

Definition. *Let $\mathcal{E}_\delta(\rho)$, $\delta > 0$, denote the space of exponential type functions f, which satisfy the following conditions:*

i) $\rho_+(f) = 0$ and $\rho_-(f) = \rho$,

ii) $f(z)$ does not have zeros in $\mathbb{C}_+$,

iii) $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$,

iv) $|f(x)| \geqslant \delta$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

The function f is said to belong to the Cartwright class if f is entire, of exponential type, and the following conditions hold true:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\log(1 + |f(x)|)}{1 + x^2}dx < \infty, \quad \rho_+(f) = 0, \quad \rho_-(f) = \rho > 0,$$

for some $\rho > 0$.

Assume f belong to the Cartwright class and denote by $(z_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ the sequence of its zeros $\neq 0$ (counted with multiplicity), so arranged that $0 < |z_1| \leqslant |z_2| \leqslant \dots$. Then we have the Hadamard factorization

$$f(z) = Cz^m e^{i\rho z/2} \lim_{r\to\infty} \prod_{|z_n|\leqslant r} \left(1 - \frac{z}{z_n}\right), \quad C = \frac{f^{(m)}(0)}{m!}, \tag{2.1}$$

for some integer m, where the product converges uniformly in every bounded disc and

$$\sum \frac{|\operatorname{Im} z_n|}{|z_n|^2} < \infty. \tag{2.2}$$

Given an entire function f, let us denote by $\mathcal{N}_+(r, f)$ the number of its zeros with real part $\geqslant 0$ having modulus $\leqslant r$, and by $\mathcal{N}_-(r, f)$ the number of its zeros with real part < 0 having modulus $\leqslant r$. As usual, $\mathcal{N}(r, f) = \mathcal{N}_-(r, f) + \mathcal{N}_+(r, f)$ is the total number of zeros of f with modulus $\leqslant r$, and multiple zeros f are counted accoding to their multiplicities in reckoning the quantities $\mathcal{N}_-(r, f), \mathcal{N}_+(r, f)$ and $\mathcal{N}(r, f)$. We need the following well known result (see [Koo81], page 69).

Theorem 2.1 (Levinson)**.** *Let the function f belong to the Cartwright class for some $\rho > 0$. Then*

$$\mathcal{N}_\pm(r, f) = \frac{\rho\, r}{2\pi}(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty. \tag{2.3}$$

For each $\delta > 0$ the number of zeros of f with modulus $\leqslant r$ lying outside both of the two sectors $|\arg z|, |\arg z - \pi| < \delta$ is $o(r)$ for large r.

Below we will use some arguments from the paper [K04], where some properties of resonances were proved for the Schrödinger operators. In order to adapt the formulas to our settings we write $\rho = 2\gamma$, $\gamma > 0$. In order to prove Theorem 1.2 we need

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{E}_\delta(2\gamma)$ for some $\delta \in [0, 1]$ and $\gamma > 0$. Assume that for some $p \geqslant 0$ there exists a polynomial $G_p(z) = 1 + \sum_1^p d_n z^{-n}$ and a constant C_p such that*

$$C_p = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |x^{p+1}(f(x) - G_p(x))| < \infty. \tag{2.4}$$

Then for each zero $z_n, n \geqslant 1$, the following estimate holds true:

$$|G_p(z_n))| \leqslant C_p|z_n|^{-p-1}e^{-2\gamma y_n}, \quad y_n = \operatorname{Im} z_n. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. We take the function $f_p(z) = z^{p+1}(f(z) - G_p(z))e^{-i2\gamma z}$. By condition, the function f_p satisfies the estimates

1) $|f_p(x)| \leqslant C_p$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$,
2) $\log |f_p(z)| \leqslant \mathcal{O}(|z|)$ for large $z \in \mathbb{C}_-$
3) $\limsup_{y\to\infty} y^{-1} \log |f_p(-iy)| = 0$

Then the Phragmen-Lindelöf Theorem (see [Koo81], page 23) implies $|f_p(z)| \leqslant C_p$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}_-$. Hence at $z = z_n$ we obtain

$$|z^{p+1}G_p(z)e^{-i2\gamma z}| = |f_p(z)| = |z^{p+1}(f(z) - G_p(z))e^{-i2\gamma z}| \leqslant C_p, \tag{2.6}$$

which yields (2.5). ∎

Corollary 2.3. *Let* $f \in \mathcal{E}_\delta(2\gamma)$ *for some* $\delta \in [0,1]$ *and* $\gamma > 0$. *and let* $z_n, n \geqslant 1$, *be zeros of* f.
i) Assume that $C_0 = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |x(f(x)-1)| < \infty$. *Then each zero* $z_n, n \geqslant 1$, *satisfies*

$$|z_n| \leqslant C_0 e^{-2\gamma y_n}. \tag{2.7}$$

ii) Assume that $C_1 = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |x^2 f(x) - x^2 - Ax| < \infty$ *for some* A. *Then each zero* $z_n, n \geqslant 1$, *satisfies*

$$|z_n(z_n + A)| \leqslant C_1 e^{-2\gamma y_n}. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Note that in Proposition 3.4 it is proved that the inverse of the transmission coefficient $a(\lambda)$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}_1(2\gamma)$. Moreover, if q satisfies Condition A and $q' \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then $a(\lambda)$ satisfy uniform bound (4.5), Lemma 4.1, and therefore the conditions of Corollary 2.3 are satisfied with $A = \frac{i}{2}\|q\|_2^2$. ■

3. Dirac systems

3.1. **Preliminaries.** We consider the Dirac system (1.1) for a vector valued function $f(x) = f_1(x)e_+ + f_2(x)e_-$, where f_1, f_2 are the functions of $x \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{cases} -if_1' + qf_2 = \lambda f_1 \\ if_2' + \overline{q} f_1 = \lambda f_2 \end{cases} \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3.1}$$

Here $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a complex-valued function.

Note that if the function $f(x,\lambda) = (f_1(x,\lambda), f_2(x,\lambda))^{\mathrm{T}}$ is solution of (3.1) with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then $\widetilde{f}(x,\lambda) := (\overline{f}_2(x,\overline{\lambda}), \overline{f}_1(x,\overline{\lambda}))^{\mathrm{T}}$ is also the solution of (3.1) with the same λ.

Define the fundamental solutions $\psi_\pm, \varphi_\pm$, of (3.1) satisfying the following conditions

$$\psi^\pm(x,\lambda) = e^{\pm i\lambda x} e_\pm, \quad x > \gamma; \qquad \varphi^\pm(x,\lambda) = e^{\pm i\lambda x} e_\pm, \quad x < 0.$$

Then

$$\det(\psi^+(x,\lambda), \psi^-(x,\lambda)) = \det(\varphi^+(x,\lambda), \varphi^-(x,\lambda)) = 1,$$
$$\widetilde{\psi}^+(x,\lambda) = \psi^-(x,\lambda), \quad \widetilde{\varphi}^+(x,\lambda) = \varphi^-(x,\lambda).$$

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\varphi^-(x,\lambda) = b(\lambda)\psi^+(x,\lambda) + a(\lambda)\psi^-(x,\lambda), \quad \psi^+(x,\lambda) = \widetilde{b}(\lambda)\varphi^-(x,\lambda) + a(\lambda)\varphi^+(x,\lambda),$$

where

$$a(\lambda) = \det(\psi^+(x,\lambda), \varphi^-(x,\lambda)), \quad b(\lambda) = \det(\varphi^-(x,\lambda), \psi^-(x,\lambda))$$

and

$$\widetilde{b}(\lambda) = \det(\varphi^+(x,\lambda), \psi^+(x,\lambda)) = \det(\widetilde{\varphi}^-, \widetilde{\psi}^-) = -\overline{b}(\overline{\lambda}).$$

Using the property that if f is solution of (3.1) with (λ, q) then $\overline{f}$ is solution of (3.1) with $(-\overline{\lambda}, -\overline{q})$ we get that

$$\overline{a(\lambda,q)} = a(-\overline{\lambda}, -\overline{q}), \quad \overline{b(\lambda,q)} = b(-\overline{\lambda}, -\overline{q}). \tag{3.2}$$

We denote the operator with $q \equiv 0$ by H_0. The scattering matrix $\mathcal{S}$ for the pair H, H_0 has the following form

$$\mathcal{S}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{a(\lambda)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\overline{b}(\lambda) \\ b(\lambda) & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad R_- = \frac{\widetilde{b}}{a}, \quad R_+ = \frac{b}{a},$$

here $\frac{1}{a}$ is the transmission coefficient and $R_\pm$ is the right (left) reflection coefficient. Note that if $f = (f_1, f_2)^{\mathrm{T}}$ is solution of (3.1) with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\widetilde{f} = (\overline{f}_2, \overline{f}_1)^{\mathrm{T}}$ is also the solution of (3.1) with the same $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The S-matrix is unitary, which implies the identity

$$|\det \mathcal{S}(\lambda)| = |a(\lambda)|^2 - |b(\lambda)|^2 = 1, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.3}$$

3.2. **Properties of the fundamental solutions.** Now, we consider some properties of the fundamental solutions $\psi^\pm, \varphi^\pm$ of the Dirac system (3.1) and functions $a, \widetilde{b}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. If function q satisfies Condition A, then

$$a(\lambda) = \det(\psi^+, \varphi^-) = \psi_1^+(0, \lambda), \qquad b(\lambda) = \det(\varphi^-, \psi^-) = -\psi_1^-(0, \lambda) \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\widetilde{b}(\lambda) = \det(\varphi^+, \psi^+) = \psi_2^+(0, \lambda). \tag{3.5}$$

The solutions $\psi^\pm, \varphi^\pm$ satisfy the following integral equations:

$$\psi^\pm(x, \lambda) = e^{\pm i\lambda x} e_\pm + \int_x^\infty iJe^{i\lambda(x-t)J} V(t) \psi^\pm(t, \lambda) dt, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\varphi^\pm(x, \lambda) = e^{\pm i\lambda x} e_\pm - \int_0^x iJe^{i\lambda(x-t)J} V(t) \varphi^\pm(t, \lambda) dt, \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$iJe^{i\lambda(x-t)J} V(t) = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q(t)e^{i\lambda(x-t)} \\ -\overline{q}(t)e^{-i\lambda(x-t)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.8}$$

Using (3.8) we obtain

$$\psi_1^+(x, \lambda) = e^{i\lambda x} + i \int_x^\infty e^{i\lambda(x-t)} q(t) \psi_2^+(t, \lambda) dt,$$

$$\psi_2^+(x, \lambda) = -i \int_x^\infty e^{-i\lambda(x-t)} \overline{q}(t) \psi_1^+(t, \lambda) dt.$$

Then

$$\psi_1^+(x, \lambda) = e^{i\lambda x} + \int_x^\infty e^{i\lambda(x-t)} q(t) \int_t^\infty e^{-i\lambda(t-s)} \overline{q}(s) \psi_1^+(s, \lambda) ds dt,$$

and we have the following equation for $\chi = \psi_1^+(x, \lambda) e^{-i\lambda x}$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(x, \lambda) &= 1 + \int_x^\infty q(t) \int_t^\infty e^{i2\lambda(s-t)} \overline{q}(s) \chi(s, \lambda) ds dt = 1 + \int_x^\infty G(x, s, \lambda) \chi(s, \lambda) ds, \\ G(x, s, \lambda) &= \overline{q}(s) \int_x^s e^{i2\lambda(s-t)} q(t) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Thus we have the power series in q

$$\chi(x, \lambda) = 1 + \sum_{n \geqslant 1} \chi_n(x, \lambda), \qquad \chi_n(x, \lambda) = \int_x^\infty G(x, s, \lambda) \chi_{n-1}(s, \lambda) ds, \tag{3.10}$$

where $\chi_0(\cdot, \lambda) = 1$.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose* $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ *and denote* $\Phi(x) = \operatorname{ch} \int_x^\infty |q(s)|ds$. *Then the following facts hold true:*

1) For each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, *the function* $\chi(x, \cdot)$ *is continuous in the closed half-plane* $\operatorname{Im} \lambda \geqslant 0$ *and entire in the open half-plane* $\operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0$. *For each* $x \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $\operatorname{Im} \lambda \geqslant 0$, *the functions* χ_n, χ *satisfy the following estimates:*

$$|\chi_n(x, \lambda)| \leqslant \frac{1}{(2n)!} \left(\int_x^\infty |q(\tau)| d\tau \right)^{2n}, \quad \forall\ n \geqslant 1, \tag{3.11}$$

$$|\chi(x, \lambda)| \leqslant \Phi(x), \tag{3.12}$$

$$|\chi(x, \lambda) - 1| \leqslant \Phi(x) - 1. \tag{3.13}$$

For all $\operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0$,

$$|\chi(x, \lambda) - 1| \leqslant \frac{\Phi(x)}{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|q\|_2 \|q\|_1. \tag{3.14}$$

Moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\chi(x, \lambda) - 1|^2 d\lambda \leqslant 4\pi \left(\Phi(x) - 1 \right) \Phi(x) \|q\|_2^2. \tag{3.15}$$

2) If q *satisfies Condition A, then for each* $x \in \mathbb{R}$, *the function* $\chi(x, \cdot)$ *is entire in* $\mathbb{C}$ *and for any* $(x, \lambda) \in [0, \gamma] \times \mathbb{C}$, *in addition to estimates in part 1), the following estimates* ($\eta := \operatorname{Im} \lambda$) *hold true:*

$$|\chi_n(x, \lambda)| \leqslant e^{(\gamma - x)(|\eta| - \eta)} \frac{1}{(2n)!} \left(\int_x^\gamma |q(\tau)| d\tau \right)^{2n}, \quad \forall\ n \geqslant 1, \tag{3.16}$$

$$|\chi(x, \lambda)| \leqslant e^{(\gamma - x)(|\eta| - \eta)} \Phi(x), \tag{3.17}$$

$$|\chi(x, \lambda) - 1| \leqslant e^{(\gamma - x)(|\eta| - \eta)} \left(\Phi(x) - 1 \right). \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. The statements of part 1) of the Theorem and estimates (3.11), (3.12), (3.13) are well-known and can be found for example in [ZMNP] and [DEGM]. We will not give any separate proof of these results, merely stating that these facts will follow immediately by adapting our method of proving part 2) of the Theorem. Therefore we will first prove part 2) under hypothesis that q satisfy Condition A and thereafter release this restriction while proving the estimates (3.14) and (3.15).

Let $t = (t_j)_1^{2n} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and $\mathscr{D}_t(n) = \{x = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < ... < t_{2n} < \gamma\}$. Then using (3.10) we obtain

$$\chi_n(x, \lambda) = \int\limits_{\mathscr{D}_t(n)} \left(\prod_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} q(t_{2j-1}) \overline{q}(t_{2j}) e^{i2\lambda(t_{2j} - t_{2j-1})} \right) dt, \qquad t = (t_j)_1^{2n} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n},$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi_n(x, \lambda)| &\leqslant \int\limits_{\mathscr{D}_t} \left(\prod_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} e^{(|\eta| - \eta)(t_{2j} - t_{2j-1})} |q(t_{2j-1}) q(t_{2j})| \right) dt \\ &= \int\limits_{\mathscr{D}_t(n)} \left(\prod_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2n} |q(t_j)| \right) e^{(|\eta| - \eta) \sum_1^n (t_{2j} - t_{2j-1})} dt \\ &\leqslant e^{(\gamma - x)(|\eta| - \eta)} \int\limits_{\mathscr{D}_t(n)} |q(t_1) q(t_2) .... q(t_{2n})| dt = e^{(\gamma - x)(|\eta| - \eta)} \frac{1}{(2n)!} \left(\int_x^\gamma |q(\tau)| d\tau \right)^{2n}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

which yields (3.16).

This shows that the series (3.10) converge uniformly on bounded subset of $\mathbb{C}$. Each term of this series is an entire function. Hence it follows from Vitali's theorem that the sum is an entire function. Summing the majorants we obtain estimates (3.17) and (3.18).

In rest of the proof we do not suppose Condition A.

We show (3.14). Let $\eta = \operatorname{Im}\lambda > 0$. Then (3.9) implies

$$|G(x,x',\lambda)| \leqslant |q(x')| \int_x^{x'} e^{-2\eta(x'-\tau)}|q(\tau)|d\tau \leqslant \frac{|q(x')|\,\|q\|_2}{(2\operatorname{Im}\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \tag{3.20}$$

Substituting (3.20), (3.18) into (3.9) we obtain

$$|\chi(x,\lambda)-1| \leqslant \int_x^{\gamma} |G(x,x',\lambda)\chi(x',\lambda)|dx' \leqslant \int_x^{\gamma} \frac{|q(x')|\,\|q\|_2}{(2\operatorname{Im}\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\Phi(x')dx'$$

$$\leqslant \frac{\Phi(x)}{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\|q\|_2\|q\|_1, \qquad \forall\ \operatorname{Im}\lambda > 0,$$

which yields (3.14).

Now, we will prove (3.15). For a fixed x let $\langle g(x,\cdot), h(x,\cdot)\rangle_{L^2}$ denote the scalar product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\lambda)$ with respect to the second argument. In order to prove (3.15) we calculate and estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\chi(x,\lambda)-1|^2 d\lambda = \langle \sum_{n\geqslant 1}\chi_n(x,\cdot), \sum_{m\geqslant 1}\chi_m(x,\cdot)\rangle_{L^2}. \tag{3.21}$$

Let $\sigma(t) = \sum\limits_{1\leqslant j\leqslant n}(t_{2j}-t_{2j-1})$ and $s=(s_j)_1^{2n}$. We have

$$\langle \chi_n(x,\cdot), \chi_m(x,\cdot)\rangle = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}}\ \int\limits_{\mathscr{D}_t(n)} \Big(\prod_{1\leqslant j\leqslant n} q(t_{2j-1})\overline{q}(t_{2j})\Big) e^{i2\lambda\sigma(t)}dt$$

$$\cdot \int\limits_{\mathscr{D}_s(m)} \Big(\prod_{1\leqslant j\leqslant m} \overline{q}(s_{2j-1})q(s_{2j})\Big) e^{-i2\lambda\sigma(s)}ds\, d\lambda,$$

where in the previous definition of the domain $\mathscr{D}_{\cdot}(\cdot)$ the constant γ should be replaced with ∞ if q does not have compact support. Using that

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i2\lambda\Big(\sigma(t)-\sigma(s)\Big)} d\lambda = 4\pi\delta\Big(\sigma(t)-\sigma(s)\Big),$$

where $\delta(\cdot)$ is the delta-function, we get

$$\frac{1}{4\pi}\langle \chi_n(x,\cdot),\chi_m(x,\cdot)\rangle =$$

$$\int\limits_{\mathscr{D}_t(n)\times\mathscr{D}_s(m)} \Big(\prod_{1\leqslant j\leqslant n} q(t_{2j-1})\overline{q}(t_{2j})\Big)\delta\left(\sigma(t)-\sigma(s)\right)\Big(\prod_{1\leqslant j\leqslant m}\overline{q}(s_{2j-1})q(s_{2j})\Big)dsdt$$

$$= \int\limits_{\mathscr{D}_t(n)\times\mathscr{D}_s(m-1)} \Big(\prod_{1\leqslant j\leqslant n} q(t_{2j-1})\overline{q}(t_{2j})\Big)\Big(\prod_{1\leqslant j\leqslant m-1}\overline{q}(s_{2j-1})q(s_{2j})\Big)$$

$$\cdot\, \overline{q}(s_{2m-1})q\Bigg(\sigma(t)-\sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant m-1}(s_{2j}-s_{2j-1})+s_{2m-1}\Bigg)ds_1ds_2...ds_{2m-1}dt.$$

Now, we can estimate the right hand side using the Hölder inequality

$$\left|\int\limits_{s_{2m-2}}^{\infty}\overline{q}(s_{2m-1})q\Bigg(\sigma(t)-\sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant m-1}(s_{2j}-s_{2j-1})+s_{2m-1}\Bigg)ds_{2m-1}\right|\leqslant\|q\|_2^2,$$

and get

$$\langle \chi_n(x,\cdot),\chi_m(x,\cdot)\rangle\leqslant$$

$$4\pi\int\limits_{\mathscr{D}_t(n)}|q(t_1)q(t_2)....q(t_{2n})|dt\int\limits_{\mathscr{D}_s(m-1)}|q(s_1)q(s_2)....q(s_{2m-2})|ds_1...ds_{2m-2}$$

$$\cdot\,\|q\|_2^2=\frac{4\pi}{(2n)!(2m-2)!}\left(\int_x^{\infty}|q(\tau)|d\tau\right)^{2n+2m-2}\|q\|_2^2.$$

Then using (3.21) we get (3.15). ■

As (3.4) yields

$$a(\lambda)=\chi(0,\lambda)=1+\int_0^{\infty}G(0,s,\lambda)\chi(s,\lambda)ds, \tag{3.22}$$

we get

$$a(\lambda)=1+\sum_{n\geqslant1}a_n(\lambda),\quad a_1(\lambda)=\int_0^{\infty}G(0,s,\lambda)ds,\ \ldots,\ a_n(\lambda)=\chi_n(0,\lambda).$$

Now, if q satisfies Condition A we use (3.5) and get

$$\widetilde{b}(\lambda)=\psi_2^+(0,\lambda)=-i\int_0^{\infty}e^{-i\lambda(x-t)}\overline{q}(t)\psi_1^+(t,\lambda)dt=-i\int_0^{\gamma}e^{i2\lambda t}\overline{q}(t)\chi(t,\lambda)dt. \tag{3.23}$$

Note that if $q'\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then by integration by parts we get the following asymptotics:

$$a(\lambda)=1-\frac{1}{2i\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(t)|^2dt+o(\lambda^{-1}),\quad \widetilde{b}(\lambda)=o(\lambda^{-1}),\quad \operatorname{Im}\lambda\geqslant0,\ |\lambda|\to\infty \tag{3.24}$$

(which hold even without supposing Condition A, but under the weaker condition that $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $q' \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, see [DEGM], p. 305). In Section 4 we show that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and for $|\lambda| \to \infty$ one can replace $o(\lambda^{-1})$ in (3.24) by $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-2})$ (see asymptotics (4.5)).

We summarize the properties of functions $a, \widetilde{b}$ without supposing $q, q' \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then the following facts hold true:*
1) The function $a(\lambda)$ is continuous in the closed half-plane $\operatorname{Im}\lambda \geqslant 0$ and entire in the open half-plane $\operatorname{Im}\lambda > 0$. For $\operatorname{Im}\lambda \geqslant 0$ the function a satisfies the following estimates:

$$|a(\lambda)| \leqslant \operatorname{ch}\|q\|_1, \quad |a(\lambda) - 1| \leqslant \operatorname{ch}\|q\|_1 - 1. \tag{3.25}$$

For all $\operatorname{Im}\lambda > 0$,

$$|a(\lambda) - 1| \leqslant \frac{\operatorname{ch}\|q\|_1}{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\|q\|_2\|q\|_1.$$

Moreover,

$$a(\cdot) - 1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}). \tag{3.26}$$

2) If q satisfies Condition A, then for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the functions $\psi^{\pm}(x,\lambda), \varphi^{\pm}(x,\lambda)$ and $a(\lambda)$, $b(\lambda)$, $\widetilde{b}(\lambda)$ are entire on $\mathbb{C}$. In addition to estimates in part 1), the following estimates hold true:

$$\begin{aligned} &|a(\lambda)| \leqslant e^{\gamma(|\eta|-\eta)} \operatorname{ch}\|q\|_1, \\ &|a(\lambda) - 1| \leqslant e^{\gamma(|\eta|-\eta)} (\operatorname{ch}\|q\|_1 - 1), \\ &\left|\widetilde{b}(\lambda) + i\int_0^{\gamma} e^{i2\lambda t}\overline{q}(t)dt\right| \leqslant e^{\gamma(|\eta|-\eta)} \left(\operatorname{sh}\|q\|_1 - \|q\|_1\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

where $\eta = \operatorname{Im}\lambda$.

Proof. The results in Part 1) follows directly from Lemma 3.1 and formula (3.22). The fact that $a(\cdot) - 1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, (3.26), follows from (3.15).

Suppose that q satisfies Condition A. Then representing $\chi(x,t)$ as a sum as in (3.10), estimating each term in the sum as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 , bounds (3.19), and by integrating by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\widetilde{b}(\lambda) + i\int_0^{\gamma} e^{i2\lambda t}\overline{q}(t)dt\right| &\leqslant e^{\gamma(|\eta|-\eta)} \sum_{n\geqslant 1} \frac{1}{(2n)!}\int_0^{\gamma} |q(x)| \left(\int_x^{\gamma} |q(\tau)|d\tau\right)^{2n} dx = \\ = e^{\gamma(|\eta|-\eta)} \sum_{n\geqslant 1} \frac{1}{(2n+1)!} \left(\int_x^{\gamma} |q(\tau)|d\tau\right)^{2n+1} dx &= e^{\gamma(|\eta|-\eta)} \left(\sinh\|q\|_1 - \|q\|_1\right) \end{aligned},$$

which shows the last inequality in (3.27).

■

If q satisfies Condition A, then using the analyticity of $a, b, \widetilde{b}$, identity (3.3) has an analytic continuation into the whole complex plane as

$$a(\lambda)\overline{a}(\overline{\lambda}) - b(\lambda)\overline{b}(\overline{\lambda}) = 1, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3.28}$$

All zeros of $a(\lambda, q)$ lie in $\mathbb{C}_-$. Denote by $\{\lambda_n\}_1^{\infty}$ the sequence of its zeros in $\mathbb{C}_-$ (multiplicities counted by repetition), so arranged that $0 < |\lambda_1| \leqslant |\lambda_2| \leqslant |\lambda_2| \leqslant \ldots$. We denote the number of zeros of function a having modulus $\leqslant r$ by $\mathcal{N}(r,a)$, each zero being counted according to its multiplicity.

We will need the following Lemma by Froese (see [F97], Lemma 4.1). Even though the original lemma was stated for $V \in L^\infty$ the argument also works for $V \in L^2$ and we reproduce this version of lemma here for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose* $V \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ *has compact support contained in* $[0,1]$, *but in no smaller interval. Suppose* $f(x,\lambda)$ *is analytic for* λ *in the lower half plane, and for real* λ *we have* $f(x,\lambda) \in L^2([0,1]\,dx, \mathbb{R}\,d\lambda)$. *Then* $\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda x} V(1-f(x,\lambda))\,dx$ *has exponential type at least* 1 *for* λ *in the lower half plane.*

In the following Proposition we state the analytic properties of functions $a, \widetilde{b}$.

Proposition 3.4. *Assume that potential* q *satisfies Condition A. Then*

$$a(\cdot) \in \mathcal{E}_1(2\gamma), \qquad \widetilde{b}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{E}_0(2\gamma), \tag{3.29}$$

$$a(i\eta, q) = 1 + o(1), \quad \widetilde{b}(i\eta, q) = -i\int_0^\gamma e^{-2\eta t}\overline{q}(t)dt + o(1) \quad \textit{as} \quad \eta \to \infty, \tag{3.30}$$

and

$$a(\lambda, q) = a(0,q)e^{i\gamma\lambda} \lim_{r\to+\infty} \prod_{|z_n|\leqslant r} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n}\right), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{3.31}$$

uniformly in every disc.

Proof. First we prove that $a(\cdot) \in \mathcal{E}_1(2\gamma)$, $\widetilde{b}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{E}_0(2\gamma)$. By (3.27), functions a, $\widetilde{b}$ have exponential type in the lower half plane at most 2γ : $\rho_-(\widetilde{b}) \leqslant 2\gamma$. Now, we have by (3.18)

$$\widetilde{b}(\lambda) = -i\int_0^1 e^{i2\lambda t}\overline{q}(t)\chi(t,\lambda)dt = -i\int_0^1 e^{i2\lambda t}\overline{q}(t)(1 + X(t,\lambda))dt,$$

where $X(t,\lambda) = \chi(t,\lambda) - 1$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C}_-$ and $\int_0^\gamma dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\lambda |X(x,\lambda)|^2 < \infty$ by Lemma 3.1, bound (3.15). Using that the support of q is contained in $[0,1]$, but in no smaller interval (Condition A), we get that $\widetilde{b}(\lambda)$ has exponential type of at least $\rho_- = 2\gamma$ by Lemma 3.3.

The proof of $\rho_+ = 0$ is similar. Now, using (3.28), $a(\lambda)\overline{a}(\overline{\lambda}) = 1 + b(\lambda)\overline{b}(\overline{\lambda})$, and $\widetilde{b}(\lambda) = -\overline{b}(\overline{\lambda})$, we get the same result for the function $a(\lambda)$. The asymptotics (3.30) follows from (3.27).

Inequality $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\log(1+|f(\lambda)|)}{1+\lambda^2} d\lambda < \infty$, where $f = a(\lambda)$ or $f = \widetilde{b}(\lambda)$, follows trivially from the fact that $a, \widetilde{b} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. From (3.3) it follows that $|a(\lambda)| \geqslant 1$ for $\forall\, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore we have $a(\cdot) \in \mathcal{E}_1(2\gamma)$ and $\widetilde{b}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{E}_0(2\gamma)$.

Formulas in (3.30) follow from bounds (3.27) respectively (3.14).

Formula (3.31) is the standard Hadamard factorization of a function from Cartwright class, see (2.1). ∎

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Proposition 3.4 shows that the conditions of Levinson Theorem 2.1 are fulfilled which gives asymptotics (1.8). ∎

4. ESTIMATES FOR ψ FOR THE CASE $q' \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

We suppose that q satisfies Condition A and $q' \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. We consider the Dirac equation

$$-i\sigma_3\psi' + V\psi = \lambda\psi, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ \overline{q} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.1}$$

where we use the Pauli notation $J=\sigma_3$. Note the following commutation properties

$$\sigma_3 V=-V\sigma_3,\ e^{i\lambda t\sigma_3}V=e^{-i\lambda t\sigma_3}V,\ \sigma_3^2=I_2. \tag{4.2}$$

Recall that the Jost solution $\psi^+=(\psi_1^+,\psi_2^+)^{\mathrm{T}}$ is solution of (4.1) satisfying the condition

$$\psi^+=e^{i\lambda x}e_+\equiv e^{i\lambda x\sigma_3}\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix},\quad \text{for } x>\gamma. \tag{4.3}$$

The main result of this section is the following Lemma

Lemma 4.1. *Let q satisfy Condition A and $q'\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then for $|\lambda|\geqslant \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}|q|$ the Jost solution $\psi=\psi^+$ of (4.1), (4.3) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi(x,\lambda)=\psi^0+\frac{1}{2\lambda}a^{-1}K\psi,\quad \psi^0=a^{-1}e^{i\lambda x\sigma_3}\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix},\quad KY=\int_x^\gamma e^{i\sigma_3(x-t)}W(t)\psi(t,\lambda)dt,\\
&a(x,\lambda)=I_2-\frac{1}{2\lambda}V(x),\quad W(t)=V'(t)+i|q(t)|^2\sigma_3,\\
&\psi=\psi^0+\sum_{n\geqslant 1}\psi^n,\quad \psi^n=\frac{1}{(2\lambda)^n}(a^{-1}K)^n\psi^0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the series converge uniformly on bounded subsets of $\{(x,\lambda);\ x\in\mathbb{R},|\lambda|\geqslant \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}|q|\}$ and for any $j\geqslant 2$, the following estimates hold true:

$$|\psi^n(x,\lambda)|\leqslant \frac{2}{n!|\lambda|^n}e^{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|(2\gamma-x)}\left(\int_0^\gamma |W(s)|ds\right)^n.$$

Let $a(\lambda)=\psi_1^+(0,\lambda)$. Then for any $|\lambda|\geqslant\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}|q|$

$$a(\lambda)=1-\frac{1}{2i\lambda}\|q\|_2^2+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{e^{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|2\gamma}}{|\lambda|^2}\right). \tag{4.4}$$

Moreover, the quantity

$$\sup_{\lambda\in\mathbb{R}}\left|\lambda^2\left(a(\lambda)-1+\frac{1}{2i\lambda}\|q\|_2^2\right)\right| \tag{4.5}$$

is finite.

Proof. We use the arguments from [K08]. Note that (4.1) is equivalent to $\psi'-i\lambda\sigma_3\psi=-i\sigma_3 V\psi$ and

$$\left(e^{-i\lambda x\sigma_3}\psi\right)'=-ie^{-i\lambda x\sigma_3}\sigma_3 V\psi. \tag{4.6}$$

The Jost function $\psi=\psi^+$ satisfies the integral equation

$$\psi(x,\lambda)=e^{i\lambda x\sigma_3}e_1+\int_x^\gamma i\sigma_3 e^{i\lambda(x-t)\sigma_3}V(t)\psi(t,\lambda)dt.$$

Using (4.2) we write it in the form

$$\psi(x,\lambda)=e^{i\lambda x\sigma_3}e_1+\int_x^\gamma i\sigma_3 e^{i\lambda(x-2t)\sigma_3}V(t)e^{-i\lambda t\sigma_3}\psi(t,\lambda)dt.$$

Using that $q' \in L^1$ we integrate by parts and use that $e^{-i\lambda t\sigma_3}\psi(t,\lambda)$ satisfies (4.6)

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x,\lambda) =& e^{i\lambda x\sigma_3}e_1 + \left[\frac{i\sigma_3^2}{-i2\lambda}e^{i\lambda(x-2t)\sigma_3}V(t)e^{-i\lambda t\sigma_3}\psi(t,\lambda)\right]_{t=x}^{\gamma} - \\ &- \int_x^\gamma \frac{i\sigma_3^2}{-i2\lambda}e^{i\lambda(x-2t)\sigma_3}\left(V'(t)e^{-i\lambda t\sigma_3} - iVe^{-i\lambda t\sigma_3}\sigma_3 V\right)\psi(t,\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

Again using the commutation relations (4.2) we get the integral equation

$$\psi(x,\lambda) = e^{i\lambda x\sigma_3}e_1 + \frac{1}{2\lambda}V(x)\psi(x,\lambda) + \frac{1}{2\lambda}\int_x^\gamma e^{i\lambda(x-t)\sigma_3}\left(V' + i|q|^2\sigma_3\right)\psi(t,\lambda)dt.$$

Put $W(t) = V' + i|q|^2\sigma_3$ and $a(x,\lambda) = I_2 - \frac{1}{2\lambda}V(x)$. Then ψ satisfies

$$a(x,\lambda)\psi(x,\lambda) = e^{i\lambda x\sigma_3}e_1 + \frac{1}{2\lambda}\int_x^\gamma e^{i\lambda(x-t)\sigma_3}W(t)\psi(t,\lambda)dt.$$

Using that

$$\text{for} \quad |\lambda| \geqslant \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}|q| \quad \text{we have} \quad \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}|a^{-1}| \leqslant 2, \tag{4.7}$$

we get the integral equation

$$\psi(x,\lambda) = \psi^0 + \frac{1}{2\lambda}a^{-1}K\psi, \quad \psi^0 = a^{-1}e^{i\lambda x\sigma_3}\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}, \quad K\psi = \int_x^\gamma e^{i\sigma_3(x-t)}W(t)\psi(t,\lambda)dt.$$

By iterating we get

$$\psi = \psi^0 + \sum_{n\geqslant 1}\psi^n, \quad \psi^n = \frac{1}{(2\lambda)^n}(a^{-1}K)^n\psi^0.$$

Let $t = (t_j)_1^n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathscr{D}_t(n) = \{x = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < ... < t_n < \gamma\}$.

$$\psi^n = \frac{1}{(2\lambda)^n}\int_{\mathscr{D}_t(n)}\prod_{j=1}^n (a(t_{j-1}))^{-1}e^{i\lambda\sigma_3(t_{j-1}-t_j)}W(t_j)(a(t_n))^{-1}e^{i\lambda t_n\sigma_3}\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}dt.$$

Let $x > 0$. Now, using (4.7) and

$$\left|e^{i\lambda\sigma_3(t_{j-1}-t_j)}\right| \leqslant e^{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|(t_j-t_{j-1})}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n (t_j - t_{j-1}) = t_n - t_0, \quad \left|e^{i\lambda t_n\sigma_3}\right| \leqslant e^{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|t_n},$$

we get

$$|\psi^n(x,\lambda)| \leqslant \frac{2}{|\lambda|^n}e^{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|(2\gamma-x)}\int_{\mathscr{D}_t(n)}\prod_{j=1}^n |W(t_j)|dt = \frac{2}{n!|\lambda|^n}e^{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|(2\gamma-x)}\left(\int_0^\gamma |W(s)|ds\right)^n.$$

Note that explicitly

$$a^{-1} = \frac{1}{1-(2\lambda)^{-2}|q|^2}\begin{pmatrix}1 & (2\lambda)^{-1}q\\ (2\lambda)^{-1}\overline{q} & 1\end{pmatrix}, \quad W(t) = \begin{pmatrix} i|q|^2 & q' \\ \overline{q}' & -i|q|^2\end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\psi^0 = \frac{1}{1-(2\lambda)^{-2}|q|^2}e^{i\lambda x}\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}.$$

Putting $x = 0$ we get

$$\psi_1^0(0,\lambda) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-2}), \quad \psi_1^1(0,\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2i\lambda}\int_0^\gamma |q|^2 dt + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-2})$$

and as $a(\lambda) = \psi_1^+(0, \lambda)$ we get (4.4).

Now, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ bound (4.4) implies that (4.5), which is used in Theorem 1.3. ■

5. The resolvent estimates

Let $R(\lambda) = (H - \lambda I)^{-1}$ denote resolvent for operator H and let $R_0(\lambda)$ be the free resolvent (i.e. for the case $q = 0$). We have

$$R_0(\lambda) = (H_0 - \lambda I)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (-i\partial_x - \lambda)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (i\partial_x - \lambda)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T_0 & 0 \\ 0 & S_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\partial_x = \frac{d}{dx}$, and

$$T_0 f(x) = i \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{i\lambda(x-y)} f(y) dy, \quad S_0 f(x) = i \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-i\lambda(x-y)} f(y) dy \quad \text{if } \operatorname{Im}\lambda > 0;$$

$$T_0 f(x) = -i \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda(x-y)} f(y) dy, \quad S_0 f(x) = -i \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-i\lambda(x-y)} f(y) dy \quad \text{if } \operatorname{Im}\lambda < 0.$$

We denote by $\|.\|_{\mathcal{B}_k}$, the Trace ($k = 1$) and the Hilbert-Schmidt ($k = 2$) operator norms.

For a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$, let $AC(\mathbb{C}_\pm; \mathcal{X})$ denote the set of all $\mathcal{X}$-valued continuous functions on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_\pm = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C};\ \pm \operatorname{Im}\lambda \geqslant 0\}$, which are analytic on $\mathbb{C}_\pm$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\rho, \widetilde{\rho} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C}^2)$. Then it follows:*
i) Operators $\rho R_0(\lambda), R_0(\lambda)\rho, \rho R_0(\lambda)\widetilde{\rho}$ are the $\mathcal{B}_2$-valued operator-functions satisfying the following properties:

$$\|\rho R_0(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2 = \|R_0(\lambda)\rho\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2 = \frac{\|\rho\|_2^2}{2|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|}, \tag{5.1}$$

$$\|\rho R_0(\lambda)\widetilde{\rho}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \leqslant \|\rho\|_2 \|\widetilde{\rho}\|_2, \quad \|\rho R_0(\lambda)\widetilde{\rho}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \to 0 \text{ as } |\operatorname{Im}\lambda| \to \infty. \tag{5.2}$$

Moreover, the operator-function $\rho R_0 \widetilde{\rho} \in AC(\mathbb{C}_\pm; \mathcal{B}_2)$.

ii) Operator $\rho R_0'(\lambda)\widetilde{\rho} = \rho R_0^2(\lambda)\widetilde{\rho}$ is the $\mathcal{B}_2$-valued operator-functions, analytic in $\mathbb{C}_\pm$: $\rho R_0' \widetilde{\rho} \in AC(\mathbb{C}_\pm; \mathcal{B}_2)$, satisfying

$$\|\rho R_0'(\lambda)\widetilde{\rho}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \leqslant \frac{\|\rho\|_2 \|\widetilde{\rho}\|_2}{e|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|}, \quad \|\rho R_0'(\lambda)\widetilde{\rho}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \to 0 \text{ as } |\operatorname{Im}\lambda| \to \infty. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. i) Let $\operatorname{Im}\lambda \neq 0$ and $\chi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$. Then the Fourier transformation implies

$$\|\chi(\mp i\partial_x - \lambda)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\chi(x)|^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dk}{|\pm k - \lambda|^2} = \frac{1}{2|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|} \|\chi\|_2^2.$$

As

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho R_0(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2 &= \operatorname{Tr}((\rho R_0(\lambda))^* \rho R_0(\lambda)) \\ &= \|\rho_{11} T_0(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2 + \|\rho_{21} T_0(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2 + \|\rho_{12} S_0(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2 + \|\rho_{22} S_0(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

we get the estimate. The proof for $R_0(\lambda)\rho$ is similar. This yields identity (5.1). This identity and the resolvent identity

$$\rho R_0(\lambda) = \rho R_0(\mu) + \varepsilon \rho R_0^2(\mu) + \varepsilon^2 \rho R_0(\lambda) R_0^2(\mu), \qquad \varepsilon = \lambda - \mu, \tag{5.4}$$

yields that the mapping $\lambda \to \rho R_0(\lambda)$ acting from $\mathbb{C}_+$ into $\mathcal{B}_2$ is analytic.

Let $\operatorname{Im}\lambda > 0$ (for $\operatorname{Im}\lambda < 0$ the proof is similar) and $\chi, \widetilde{\chi} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$. Then we have

$$\|\chi T_0(\lambda)\widetilde{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\chi(x)|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-2(x-y)\operatorname{Im}\lambda} |\widetilde{\chi}(y)|^2 dy dx \leqslant \|\chi\|_2^2 \|\widetilde{\chi}\|_2^2,$$

and similar we get $\|\chi S_0\widetilde{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2 \leqslant \|\chi\|_2^2\|\widetilde{\chi}\|_2^2$. These bounds and the dominated convergence Lebesgue Theorem yields (5.2).

Moreover, these arguments show that each $\rho R_0(\lambda \pm i0)\widetilde{\rho} \in \mathcal{B}_2, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

We show that $\rho R_0\widetilde{\rho} \in AC(\mathbb{C}_\pm, \mathcal{B}_2)$. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$ and $\mu \to \lambda$ We have

$$\|\chi(T_0(\lambda) - T_0(\mu))\widetilde{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\chi(x)|^2 \int_{-\infty}^x X(x, y, \lambda, \mu)|\widetilde{\chi}(y)|^2 dydx,$$

where the function $X(x, y, \lambda, \mu) = |e^{i(x-y)\lambda} - e^{i(x-y)\mu}|^2, x > y$ satisfies

$$X(x, y, \lambda, \mu) \leqslant 2, \qquad \text{and} \quad X(x, y, \lambda, \mu) \to X(x, y, \lambda, \lambda) \text{ as } \mu \to \lambda, \ \ \forall x > y.$$

Writing the similar identity for S_0 and applying Lebesgue Theorem yields that the operator-function $\rho R_0\widetilde{\rho} \in AC(\mathbb{C}_\pm, \mathcal{B}_2)$.

ii) The proof of (5.3) is easily verified as in the proof of i).

It is sufficient to prove for $\operatorname{Im}\lambda > 0$ and $\chi, \widetilde{\chi} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$. Then we get

$$\|\chi T_0'(\lambda)\widetilde{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\chi(x)|^2 \int_{-\infty}^x (x-y)^2 e^{-2(x-y)\operatorname{Im}\lambda}|\widetilde{\chi}(y)|^2 dydx \leqslant \frac{\|\chi\|_2^2\|\widetilde{\chi}\|_2^2}{e^2|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|^2},$$

where we used that the function $t^2e^{-2t\operatorname{Im}\lambda} \leqslant (e|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^{-2}$ for $t \geqslant 0$. Similar we get $\|\chi S_0'\widetilde{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2 \leqslant (e|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)^{-2}\|\chi\|_2^2\|\widetilde{\chi}\|_2^2$. These bounds and the dominated convergence Lebesgue Theorem yields (5.3) and as in i) we get that $\rho R_0'\widetilde{\rho} \in AC(\mathbb{C}_\pm, \mathcal{B}_2)$. ■

We pass now to study of the full resolvent $R(\lambda) = (H_0 + V - \lambda I)^{-1}$. We factorize V as follows

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ \overline{q} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = V_1V_2, \qquad \text{where} \qquad V_2 = |q|^{\frac{1}{2}}I_2.$$

In the beginning we do not suppose that q satisfies Condition A, but just $q \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $Y_0(\lambda) = V_2R_0(\lambda)V_1$, $Y(\lambda) = V_2R(\lambda)V_1$. Then we have

$$Y(\lambda) = Y_0(\lambda) - Y_0(\lambda)\left[I + Y_0(\lambda)\right]^{-1} Y_0(\lambda), \quad Y = I - (1 + Y_0)^{-1} \tag{5.5}$$

and

$$(I + Y_0(\lambda))(I - Y(\lambda)) = I. \tag{5.6}$$

Corollary 5.2. *Let $q \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and let $\operatorname{Im}\lambda \neq 0$. Then*
i)

$$\|VR_0(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2 = \frac{\|q\|_2^2}{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|}, \tag{5.7}$$

ii) The operator $R(\lambda) - R_0(\lambda)$ is of trace class and satisfies

$$\|R(\lambda) - R_0(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{B}_1} \leqslant \frac{C}{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|}, \tag{5.8}$$

for some constant C.

iii) Let, in addition, $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then we have $Y_0, Y, Y_0', Y' \in AC(\mathbb{C}_\pm; \mathcal{B}_2)$ and the following following bounds are satisfied:

$$\|Y_0(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \leqslant \|q\|_1, \quad \forall\ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \qquad \|Y_0(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \to 0 \quad \text{as } |\operatorname{Im}\lambda| \to \infty. \tag{5.9}$$

$$\|Y_0'(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \leqslant \frac{\|q\|_1}{e|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|}, \quad \forall\ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}; \qquad \|Y_0'(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \to 0 \quad \text{as } |\operatorname{Im}\lambda| \to \infty. \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. i) Identity (5.7) follows from (5.1) and $\|V\|_2^2 = 2\|q\|_2^2$, but also directly from:

$$\|VR_0(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2 = \operatorname{Tr}(VR_0(\lambda))^*VR_0(\lambda)) = \operatorname{Tr}(\overline{q}T_0)^*\overline{q}T_0 + \operatorname{Tr}(qS_0)^*qS_0 = \|\overline{q}T_0\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2 + \|qS_0\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2.$$

ii) Denote $\mathcal{J}_0(\lambda) = I + Y_0(\lambda)$. For $\operatorname{Im}\lambda \neq 0$, operator $\mathcal{J}_0(\lambda)$ has bounded inverse and the operator

$$R(\lambda) - R_0(\lambda) = -R_0(\lambda)V_1\left[\mathcal{J}_0(\lambda)\right]^{-1}V_2R_0(\lambda), \quad \operatorname{Im}\lambda \neq 0,$$

is trace class and the estimate follows from (5.7).

iii) That $Y_0, Y \in AC(\mathbb{C}_\pm; \mathcal{B}_2)$ follows as in the proof of Lemma 5.1, resolvent identity (5.5) and ii), bound (5.8). Using (5.6), we get

$$Y'(\lambda) = (I - Y(\lambda))Y_0'(\lambda)(I - Y(\lambda)) \in AC(\mathbb{C}_+; \mathcal{B}_2).$$

We put $\operatorname{Im}\lambda > 0$ (for $\operatorname{Im}\lambda < 0$ the proof is similar). The first inequality in (5.9) follows as (5.2) in Lemma 5.1 using the off-diagonal form of matrix-function Y_0:

$$\begin{aligned}\|Y_0(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2 &= \operatorname{Tr}(Y_0^*(\lambda)Y_0(\lambda)) = \operatorname{Tr}(T_0|q|T_0^*|q|) + \operatorname{Tr}(S_0|q|S_0^*|q|) \\ &= 2\int_0^\infty \int_0^x e^{-2\operatorname{Im}\lambda(x-t)}|q(t)|dt|q(x)|dx \leqslant \left(\int_0^\infty |q(x)|dx\right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

By the dominated convergence (Lebesgue) Theorem this also shows that $\operatorname{Tr}(Y_0^*(\lambda)Y_0(\lambda)) \to 0$ as $\operatorname{Im}\lambda \to \infty$, proving the second property in (5.9).

The properties (5.10) follows as in (5.2) in Lemma 5.1 and similarly to (5.11) by using the simple form of Y_0' .

■

Lemma 5.3. *Let* $q \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. *Then*

$$VR_0^2(\lambda),\ Y_0'(\lambda) \in \mathcal{B}_1, \quad \operatorname{Im}\lambda \neq 0. \tag{5.12}$$

$$\operatorname{Tr} Y_0'(\lambda) = 0, \quad \operatorname{Tr} Y_0^n(\lambda) = 0, \quad \operatorname{Im}\lambda \neq 0, \quad \forall\, n \in 2\mathbb{N}+1. \tag{5.13}$$

$$\operatorname{Tr}(Y_0(\lambda + i0) - Y_0(\lambda - i0)) = 0, \quad \forall\, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.14}$$

Proof. We prove (5.12). We have $VR_0^2(\lambda) \in \mathcal{B}_1$ by recalling that $R_0(\lambda) = \operatorname{diag}\left((-i\partial_x - \lambda)^{-1}, (i\partial_x - \lambda)^{-1}\right)$ and applying Theorem XI.21 in [RS-vIII], stating that

$$f(x)g(-i\partial_x) \in \mathcal{B}_1,\ f, g \in L^{2,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^n),\ \delta > n/2,$$

where $f \in L^{2,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ means $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(1+|x|)^{2\delta}|f(x)|^2dx < \infty$.

As $Y_0'(\lambda) = V_2R_0^2(\lambda)V_1$, $\|Y_0'\|_{\mathcal{B}_1} \leqslant \|V_2R_0\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \cdot \|R_0V_1\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}$, and applying Lemma 5.1 we get that $Y_0'(\lambda)$ is trace class.

The first identity in (5.13) follows from the identities $\operatorname{Tr} Y_0'(\lambda) = \operatorname{Tr} VR_0^2(\lambda) = 0$ as

$$VR_0'(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ \overline{q} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} T_0'(\lambda) & 0 \\ 0 & S_0'(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & qS_0' \\ \overline{q}T_0' & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is off-diagonal matrix operator.

The second identity in (5.13) follows as $\operatorname{Tr} Y_0^n(\lambda) = \operatorname{Tr}\Bigl(VR_0(\lambda)\Bigr)^n$ and $(VR_0(\lambda))^n$ is off-diagonal matrix operator for n odd.

Formula (5.14) follows similarly as

$$\Bigl[R_0(\lambda + i0) - R_0(\lambda - i0)\Bigr](x, y) = i\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\lambda(x-y)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\lambda(x-y)} \end{pmatrix},$$

and the product $V(R_0(\lambda+i0)-R_0(\lambda-i0))$ is off-diagonal matrix-valued operator. ■

6. Modified Fredholm determinant

In this section we will follow our agreement that the "physical sheet" corresponds to $\mathbb{C}_+$ and the resonances lie in $\mathbb{C}_-$ (see Introduction).

The "sandwiched" resolvent $Y_0(\lambda) := V_2R_0(\lambda)V_1 \in \mathcal{B}_2$ is not trace class as the integral kernel of $R_0(\lambda)$ in the Fourier representation has non-integrable singularities $(\pm k-\lambda)^{-1}$. However, it was shown in Corollary 5.2 that $Y_0(\lambda)$ is Hilbert-Schmidt, and we define the modified Fredholm determinant

$$D(\lambda) = \det\left[(I+Y_0(\lambda))e^{-Y_0(\lambda)}\right], \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

Lemma 6.1. *Let $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then*

i) The function D belongs to $AC(\mathbb{C}_+;\mathbb{C})$ and satisfies:

$$D'(\lambda) = -D(\lambda)\operatorname{Tr}[Y(\lambda)Y_0'(\lambda)] \quad \forall\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+, \tag{6.15}$$

$$|D(\lambda)| \leqslant e^{\frac{1}{2}\|q\|_1^2}, \quad \forall\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+, \tag{6.16}$$

$$D(\lambda) \neq 0, \qquad \forall\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+, \tag{6.17}$$

$$D(\lambda) \to 1 \quad as \quad \operatorname{Im}\lambda \to \infty. \tag{6.18}$$

ii) The functions $\log D(\lambda)$ and $\frac{d}{d\lambda}\log D(\lambda)$ belong to $AC(\mathbb{C}_+;\mathbb{C})$, and the following identities hold:

$$-\log D(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\operatorname{Tr}Y_0^{2k}(\lambda)}{2k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\operatorname{Tr}(T_0(\lambda)qS_0(\lambda)\overline{q})^k}{k}, \tag{6.19}$$

where the series converge absolutely and uniformly for $|\operatorname{Im}\lambda| > 2\|q\|_2^2 = \|V\|_2^2$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$, and

$$\left|\log D(\lambda) + \sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{\operatorname{Tr}Y_0^{2n}(\lambda)}{2n}\right| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon_\lambda^{N+1}}{(N+1)(1-\varepsilon_\lambda)}, \ \varepsilon_\lambda = \frac{\|V\|_2^2}{2|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|}, \ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+, \tag{6.20}$$

for any $N \geqslant 1$. Moreover, $\frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k}\log D(\lambda) \in AC(\mathbb{C}_+;\mathbb{C})$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. i) Formula (6.15) is well-known (see for example [GK69]) and together with iii) in Corollary 5.2 it implies that the functions $\log D(\lambda)$ and $\frac{d}{d\lambda}\log D(\lambda)$ belong to $AC(\mathbb{C}_+;\mathbb{C})$. Estimate (6.16) follows from the inequality ((2.2), page 212, in russian edition of [GK69])

$$|D(\lambda)| \leqslant e^{\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(Y_0^*(\lambda)Y_0(\lambda))} \tag{6.21}$$

and inequality (5.9): $\operatorname{Tr}(Y_0^*(\lambda)Y_0(\lambda)) \leqslant \|q\|_1^2$. As the zeros of $D(\lambda)$ in $\mathbb{C}_+$ are the eigenvalues of H and H does not have eigenvalues it follows (6.17).

Property (6.18) will follow from estimate (6.20) in the part ii) of Lemma. Below we will prove it.

ii) Denote $F(\lambda) = \sum_{n\geqslant 2}\frac{\operatorname{Tr}(-Y_0(\lambda))^n}{n}$. By (5.13) in Lemma 5.3, $F(\lambda)$ coincides with the series in (6.19). We show that this series converge absolutely and uniformly. Indeed, as in the proof of (5.7) we get

$$\left|\operatorname{Tr}(T_0(\lambda)qS_0(\lambda)\overline{q})^k\right| \leqslant \|T_0(\lambda)q\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^k \cdot \|S_0(\lambda)\overline{q}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^k = \varepsilon_\lambda^k, \tag{6.22}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_\lambda = \|T_0q\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2 = \|S_0\overline{q}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2}^2 = \frac{\|q\|_2^2}{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|} = \frac{\|V\|_2^2}{2|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|}.$$

Then $F(\lambda)$ is analytic function in the domain $|\operatorname{Im}\lambda| > \|V\|_2^2$. Moreover, by differentiating F and using (5.6) we get

$$F'(\lambda) = -\lim_{m\to\infty}\sum_{n\geqslant 2}^{m}\operatorname{Tr}(-Y_0(\lambda))^{n-1}Y_0'(\lambda) = \operatorname{Tr}Y(\lambda)Y_0'(\lambda), \quad |\operatorname{Im}\lambda| > \|V\|_2^2,$$

and then the function $F = \log D(\lambda)$, since $F(i\tau) = o(1)$ as $\tau \to \infty$. Using (6.19) and (6.22) we obtain (6.20). ■

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $q' \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Tr}Y_0^2 =& \operatorname{Tr}VR_0VR_0 = \operatorname{Tr}qS_0\overline{q}T_0 + \operatorname{Tr}\overline{q}T_0qS_0 = 2\operatorname{Tr}qS_0\overline{q}T_0 = \\ =& -2\int_0^\infty q(x)e^{-2i\lambda x}\int_x^\infty e^{2i\lambda y}\overline{q}(y)dydx = \frac{1}{i\lambda}\int_0^\infty |q(x)|^2dx - \\ &\frac{1}{i\lambda}\int_0^\infty q'(x)e^{-2i\lambda x}\int_x^\infty e^{2i\lambda y}\overline{q}(y)dydx,\end{aligned}$$

which together with (6.20) shows

$$-\log D(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2i\lambda}\int_0^\infty |q(x)|^2dx + o(\lambda^{-1}) \qquad \text{as} \quad |\lambda| \to \infty, \quad \lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+.$$

This implies (1.7) in Theorem 1.1 if we show Formula (1.5) in Theorem 1.1. We prove the following: Let $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then we have

i) $D \in AC(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}), \quad \det \mathcal{S}(\lambda) = \dfrac{D(\lambda - i0)}{D(\lambda + i0)}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$

ii) $D = a$.

i) We use arguments from [IK11]. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Denote $\mathcal{J}_0(\lambda) = I + Y_0(\lambda)$, $\mathcal{J}(\lambda) = I - Y(\lambda)$. Then $\mathcal{J}_0(\lambda)\mathcal{J}(\lambda) = I$ due to (5.6). Now, put $S_0(\lambda) = \mathcal{J}_0(\overline{\lambda})\mathcal{J}(\lambda)$. Then we have

$$S_0(\lambda) = I - \left(Y_0(\lambda) - Y_0(\overline{\lambda})\right)(I - Y(\lambda)).$$

Now, by the Hilbert identity,

$$Y_0(\lambda) - Y_0(\overline{\lambda}) = (\lambda - \overline{\lambda})V_2R_0(\lambda)R_0(\overline{\lambda})V_1$$

is trace class, and by taking the limit $\lambda \pm i\epsilon$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\epsilon \to 0$, we get

$$\det S_0(\lambda) = \det \mathcal{S}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $z = i\tau$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\mathcal{D} = \det(\mathcal{J}_0(\lambda)\mathcal{J}(z))$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$.
It is well defined as $\mathcal{J}_0(.)\mathcal{J}(z) - I \in AC(\mathbb{C}_+; \mathcal{B}_1)$. The function $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$ is entire in $\mathbb{C}_+$ and $\mathcal{D}(z) = I$. We put

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{D(\lambda)}{D(z)}e^{\operatorname{Tr}(Y_0(\lambda) - Y_0(z))}, \quad \lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+},$$

where

$$D(\lambda) = \det\left[(I + Y_0(\lambda))e^{-Y_0(\lambda)}\right].$$

We have $\mathcal{D}(\lambda) = f(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+$. Now, using that $\mathcal{J}_0(\lambda)\mathcal{J}(\lambda) = I$, we get

$$\det S_0(\lambda) = \det J_0(\overline{\lambda})J(z) \cdot \det(\mathcal{J}(z)^{-1}\mathcal{J}(\lambda) = \frac{\mathcal{D}(\overline{\lambda})}{\mathcal{D}(\lambda)} = \frac{D(\overline{\lambda})}{D(\lambda)}e^{\operatorname{Tr}(Y_0(\overline{\lambda}) - Y_0(\lambda))}.$$

As by (5.14) we have $\mathrm{Tr}(Y_0(\lambda+i0)-Y_0(\lambda-i0))=0$ for $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$, then we get

$$\det\mathcal{S}(\lambda)=\lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0}\frac{D(\lambda-i\epsilon)}{D(\lambda+i\epsilon)},\quad \lambda\in\mathbb{R}.$$

ii) Now, we obtained

$$\frac{\overline{D}(\lambda+i0)}{D(\lambda+i0)}=\frac{\overline{a}(\lambda+i0)}{a(\lambda+i0)}\qquad\qquad \forall\ \lambda\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{6.23}$$

Moreover, due to (6.18) and (3.27) we have also

$$\begin{aligned} &D(\lambda)\to 1, &&a(\lambda)\to 1 &&\text{as}\quad \mathrm{Im}\,\lambda\to\infty,\\ &D(\lambda)\neq 0, &&a(\lambda)\neq 0 &&\forall\ \lambda\in\overline{\mathbb{C}}_+. \end{aligned}\tag{6.24}$$

Thus we can define uniquely the functions $\log D(\lambda)$, $\log a(\lambda)$ $\forall\,\lambda\in\overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$, by the conditions

$$\log D(\lambda)\to 0,\qquad \log a(\lambda)\to 0\qquad \text{as}\quad \mathrm{Im}\,\lambda\to\infty. \tag{6.25}$$

This and (6.24) imply

$$e^{-2i\arg D(\lambda+i0)}=e^{-2i\arg a(\lambda+i0)},\quad \forall\ \lambda\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{6.26}$$

The functions $\log D(\lambda),\log a(\lambda)$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C}_+$ and continuous up to the real line. Then $\arg D(\lambda+i0)=\arg a(\lambda+i0)+2\pi N$ for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$ and for some integer $N\in\mathbb{Z}$. We define a new function $F(\lambda)=\arg D(\lambda)-\arg a(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda\in\overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$. This function satisfies

$$F(\lambda+i0)=2\pi N,\qquad \forall\ \lambda\in\mathbb{R},\qquad \text{and}\qquad F(\lambda)\to 0\qquad \text{as}\quad \mathrm{Im}\,\lambda\to\infty.$$

Thus $F\equiv 0$ and $D\equiv a$.

Now, we prove (1.6). As by ii), we have $a=D$, it is enough to consider $\log a(\cdot)$. Note that $|\log(a(\lambda))|\leqslant|a(\lambda)-1|$. Recall that we have $|a(\lambda)-1|\leqslant C$ uniformly in $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}_+$ (see (3.27)) and from (3.26) it follows that $\int_{\mathbb{R}}|a(x)-1|^2dx\equiv M<\infty$. Now, the Plancherel-Pólya theorem (see [L93]) yields $\int_{\mathbb{R}}|a(x+iy)|^2dx\leqslant M<\infty$ uniformly in $y>0$.

■

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We suppose that q satisfies Condition A. Recall that from Corollary 5.2, (5.8), it follows that $R(\lambda)-R_0(\lambda)$ is trace class. Therefore $f(H)-f(H_0)$ is trace class for any $f\in\mathscr{S}$, where $\mathscr{S}$ is the Schwartz class of all rapidly decreasing functions, and the Krein's trace formula is valid (general result):

$$\mathrm{Tr}(f(H)-f(H_0))=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\xi(\lambda)f'(\lambda)d\lambda,\quad f\in\mathscr{S},$$

where $\xi(\lambda)=\frac{1}{\pi}\phi_{\rm sc}(\lambda)$ is the spectral shift function and $\phi_{\rm sc}(\lambda)=\arg a(\lambda)=\frac{i}{2}\log\det\mathcal{S}$ is the scattering phase.

As for $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$\det\mathcal{S}=\frac{\overline{a}}{a},$$

then we have also

$$\frac{(\det\mathcal{S})'}{\det\mathcal{S}}=-2i\,\mathrm{Im}\,\frac{a'(\lambda)}{a(\lambda)}.$$

By using the Hadamard factorization (3.31) from Proposition 3.4 we get

$$\frac{a'(\lambda)}{a(\lambda)} = i\gamma + \lim_{r\to+\infty} \sum_{|\lambda_n|\leqslant r} \frac{1}{\lambda-\lambda_n}, \tag{6.27}$$

Now, using (6.27) , we get

$$\operatorname{Tr}(f(H)-f(H_0)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda)\frac{(\det\mathcal{S})'}{\det\mathcal{S}}d\lambda = -\frac{1}{\pi}\lim_{r\to+\infty}\sum_{|\lambda_n|\leqslant r}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda)\operatorname{Im}\frac{1}{\lambda-\lambda_n}d\lambda$$

and

$$\operatorname{Tr}(f(H)-f(H_0)) = -\frac{1}{\pi}\lim_{r\to+\infty}\sum_{|\lambda_n|\leqslant r}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda)\frac{\operatorname{Im}\lambda_n}{|\lambda-\lambda_n|^2}d\lambda,$$

recovering the Breit-Wigner profile $-\frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\operatorname{Im}\lambda_n}{|\lambda-\lambda_n|^2}$. The sum is converging absolutely by (2.2).

Now using (6.15), (5.13), (5.6) and $R(\lambda)-R_0(\lambda) = -R_0V_1(I+Y_0(\lambda))^{-1}V_2R_0(\lambda)$ we get $\frac{d}{d\lambda}\log D(\lambda) =$

$$\frac{D'(\lambda)}{D(\lambda)} = -\operatorname{Tr} Y(\lambda)Y_0'(\lambda) = -\operatorname{Tr}[Y_0'(\lambda)-(I-Y(\lambda))Y_0'(\lambda)] = -\operatorname{Tr}(R(\lambda)-R_0(\lambda)). \tag{6.28}$$

Recall that if potential q satisfies Condition A then $D = a \in \mathcal{E}_1(2\gamma)$ (Proposition 3.4) and

$$\frac{D'(\lambda)}{D(\lambda)} = \frac{a'(\lambda)}{a(\lambda)}. \tag{6.29}$$

Now, using (6.29) and the Hadamard factorization (6.27) in (6.28) we get the trace formula

$$\operatorname{Tr}(R(\lambda)-R_0(\lambda)) = -i\gamma - \lim_{r\to+\infty}\sum_{|\lambda_n|\leqslant r}\frac{1}{\lambda-\lambda_n}$$

with uniform convergence in every disc or bounded subset of the plane.

This proves (1.11) and (1.12) in Theorem 1.4. ■

Acknowledgments. Authors are grateful to the institute Mittag-Leffler, Djursholm, where this paper was completed. Various parts of this paper were written during Evgeny Korotyaev's stay at the faculty of Technology and Society, Malmö University and he acknowledges the faculty for its hospitality and the Wenner–Gren foundation for the funding of his stay. Evgeny Korotyaev's study was supported by the Ministry of education and science of Russian Federation, project 07.09.2012 No 8501 No 2012-1.5-12-000-1003-016 and the RFFI grant "Spectral and asymptotic methods for studying of the differential operators" No 11-01-00458.

REFERENCES

[DEGM] Dodd R., Eilbeck J., Gibbon J., Morris H., Solitons and Nonlinear Wave Equations, Academic Press, London, 1982

[FT87] L. Faddeev; L. Takhtajan, Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons, Springer- Verlag, 1987.

[F97] Froese, R. Asymptotic distribution of resonances in one dimension. J. Diff. Eq. 137 (1997), no. 2, 251-272.

[G81] Garnett J. Bounded analytic functions, Academic Press, New York, London, 1981.

[GK69] Gohberg I.C., Krein M.G. Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators in Hilbert Space, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, v. 18, AMS, 1969

[Gr92] Grebert, B., Inverse scattering for the Dirac operator on the real line. Inverse Problems 8 (1992), 787–807.

[HB92] Balslev, E.; Helffer, B. Limiting absorption principle and resonances for the Dirac operators, Adv. in appl. math. v. 13, no. 2 (1992), 186–215

[H99] Hitrik, M. Bounds on scattering poles in one dimension, Commun. Math. Phys. 208(1999), 381–411.

[IK2] Iantchenko, A.; Korotyaev, E. Resonances for Dirac operators on the half-line, in preparation.

[IK3] Iantchenko, A.; Korotyaev, E. On the eigenvalues and resonances for graphene with localized impurities, in preparation.

[IK4] Iantchenko, A.; Korotyaev, E. Invers resonance problem for 1D Dirac operators, in preparation.

[IK11] Isozaki, H.; Korotyaev, E. Trace formulas for Schrödinger operators, from the view point of complex analysis, Proceeding of RIMS Symposium Febr. 16-18, 2011 (Kyoto, Japan), 2011, p. 16-32.

[Koo81] Koosis P. The logarithmic integral I, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, London, New York 1988.

[K04] Korotyaev, E. Inverse resonance scattering on the half line. Asymptot. Anal. 37 (2004), no. 3-4, 215–226.

[K05] Korotyaev, E. Inverse resonance scattering on the real line. Inverse Problems 21 (2005), no. 1, 325–341.

[K04s] Korotyaev, E. Stability for inverse resonance problem. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2004, no. 73, 3927–3936.

[K08] Korotyaev, E. Spectral estimates for matrix-valued periodic Dirac operators, Asymp. Anal. 59(2008), 195–225.

[K11] Korotyaev, E. Resonance theory for perturbed Hill operator, Asymp. Anal. 74(2011), No 3-4, 199–227.

[K12] Korotyaev, E. Global estimates of resonances for 1D Dirac operators, preprint 2012.

[L93] Levin, B. Ya. Lectures on entire functions. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 150. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.

[MSW10] Marletta, M.; Shterenberg, R.; Weikard, R., On the Inverse Resonance Problem for Schrödinger Operators, Commun. Math. Phys., 295(2010), 465–484.

[RS-vIII] Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol.III: Scattering Theory, Academic Press, New York, 1979

[R58] Regge, T. Analytic properties of the scattering matrix, Nuovo Cimento, 8 (5), (1958), 671–679.

[S00] Simon, B. Resonances in one dimension and Fredholm determinants, J. Funct. Anal. 178 (2000), no. 2, 396–420.

[SZ91] Sjöostrand, J.; Zworski, M. Complex scaling and the distribution of scattering poles. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1991), no. 4, 729-769.

[ZMNP] Novikov, S.P; Manakov, S.V.; Pitaevski, L.P.; Zakharov, V.E. Theory of Solitons: The Inverse Scattering Method, Consultants Bureau, New York, 1984

[Z87] Zworski, M. Distribution of poles for scattering on the real line, J. Funct. Anal. 73(1987), 277–296.

[Z89] Zworski, M. Sharp polynomial bounds on the number of scattering poles of radial potentials. J. Funct. Anal. 82 (1989), no. 2, 370-403.

[Z02] Zworski, M. SIAM, J. Math. Analysis, "A remark on isopolar potentials" 82(2002), No 6, 1823–1826.

MALMÖ HÖGSKOLA, TEKNIK OCH SAMHÄLLE, 205 06 MALMÖ, SWEDEN, EMAIL: AI@MAH.SE

MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS DEPARTMENT, FACULTY OF PHYSICS, ULIANOVSKAYA 2, ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, ST. PETERSBURG, 198904, AND PUSHKIN LENINGRAD STATE UNIVERSITY, RUSSIA, KOROTYAEV@GMAIL.COM,